		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	marv	10/753,894		BASSETT ET AL	L.
Examiner-induced interview damine		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Matthew O. Savage		1724	
All Participants:	•	Status of Applicati	ion:	<del>-</del>	
(1) Matthew O. Savage.		(3)			
(2) <u>Mr. Thomas Payne</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 7 June 2006		Time:			
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)			·
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 43 and 53  Prior art documents discussed:					
Giordano et al and Thomsen					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to fthe interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. Th	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a writter of the in	n summary of the nterview, since	he substance
	,				
				-	
(Function (ODF 0)	/A				<del></del>
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Represent	ative Sig	nature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claims 43 and 54 to include the limitation of the body portion and neck portion being operatively connected such that one is not separable from the other to patently distinguish over Giordano et al and Thomsen, the limitation having basis in FIG. 3 of the drawings showing the body and neck portion as being connected via the cap such that they are not separable from one another. Agreed to provide basis for the limitation added to claims 43 and 54 to page 10 of the specification. Agreed to correct the year of the filing date of parent application 10/208,492 in the first paragraph of the specification. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details..